

High-Resolution 2D NMR Spectroscopy of Bicelles To Measure the Membrane Interaction of Ligands

Sergey V. Dvinskikh,[†] Ulrich H. N. Dürr, Kazutoshi Yamamoto, and Ayyalusamy Ramamoorthy*

Contribution from the Biophysics Research Division and Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1055

Received July 31, 2006; E-mail: ramamoor@umich.edu

Abstract: Magnetically aligned bicelles are increasingly being used as model membranes in solution- and solid-state NMR studies of the structure, dynamics, topology, and interaction of membrane-associated peptides and proteins. These studies commonly utilize the PISEMA pulse sequence to measure dipolar coupling and chemical shift, the two key parameters used in subsequent structural analysis. In the present study, we demonstrate that the PISEMA and other rotating-frame pulse sequences are not suitable for the measurement of long-range heteronuclear dipolar couplings, and that they provide inaccurate values when multiple protons are coupled to a ¹³C nucleus. Furthermore, we demonstrate that a laboratory-frame separated-local-field experiment is capable of overcoming these difficulties in magnetically aligned bicelles. An extension of this approach to accurately measure ${}^{13}C - {}^{31}P$ and ${}^{1}H - {}^{31}P$ couplings from phospholipids, which are useful to understand the interaction of molecules with the membrane, is also described. In these 2D experiments, natural abundance ¹³C was observed from bicelles containing DMPC and DHPC lipid molecules. As a first application, these solid-state NMR approaches were utilized to probe the membrane interaction of an antidepressant molecule, desipramine, and its location in the membrane.

Introduction

While structural studies using solution NMR and crystallography have provided significant insights into the functional aspects of water-soluble molecules, extension of such studies to membrane-associated molecules has been a challenge.1 However, a great deal of progress in obtaining high-resolution structures of membrane proteins using crystallography and solution NMR methods has recently been made.²⁻⁹ These pioneering advances strongly rely on the preparation of samples that satisfy the requirements of the chosen method.^{3,5} For example, crystallography requires a single crystal that provides high quality diffraction data, while solution NMR experiments need well-behaved protein-containing micelles that can tumble rapidly enough to provide high-resolution

- Gao, F. P.; Cross, T. A. *Genome Biol.* 2005, *6*, Art. No. 244.
 Hwang, P. M.; Kay, L. E. *Methods Enzymol.* 2005, *394*, 335–50.
 Tian, C. L.; Karra, M. D.; Ellis, C. D.; Jacob, J.; Oxenoid, K.; Sonnichsen, F.; Sanders, C. R. *Methods Enzymol.* 2005, *394*, 321–334.; Sanders, C.
- (4) Tian, C. L.; Breyer, R. M.; Kim, H. J.; Karra, M. D.; Friedman, D. B.; Karpay, A.; Sanders, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 5300-5300. Evanics, F.; Hwang, P. M.; Cheng, Y.; Kay, L. E.; Prosser, R. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8256-64.
 (5) Openla S. L.; Margarej, E. M. Chem. Proc. 2004, 104, 2557, 2006.
- (5) Opella, S. J.; Marassi, F. M. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 3587–3606.
 (6) Arora, A.; Tamm, L. K. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2001, 11, 540–7. Cierpicki, T.; Liang, B.; Tamm, L. K.; Bushweller, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6947–51.
- (7) Fernandez, C.; Hilty, C.; Wider, G; Guntert, P.; Wuthrich, K. J. Mol. Biol. 2004, 336, 1211-21
- (8) Porcelli, F.; Buck-Koehntop, B. A.; Thennarasu, S.; Ramamoorthy, A.;
- Veglia, G. *Biochemistry* **2006**, *45*, 5793–5799. Porcelli, F.; Buck, B.; Lee, D. K.; Hallock, K. J.; Ramamoorthy, A.; Veglia, G. J. Biol. Chem. **2004**, *279*, 45815–45823.

spectra. These requirements are difficult to satisfy for most membrane-associated systems. In addition, such samples are not suitable to measure protein-membrane interactions at a high resolution, which determine the properties of molecules embedded in the cell membrane. This is unfortunate since the structure, dynamics, folding, and function of a significant number of proteins in the human genome are controlled by their ability to interact with the cell membrane. Therefore, it is highly valuable to measure the membrane interaction of such molecules, and it is also essential to develop biophysical techniques that can deliver such information for any membrane protein. Recent studies have shown that solid-state NMR spectroscopy of phospholipid bilayers is well-suited for such measurements.5,10-20

- (10) Marassi, F. M.; Opella, S. J. J. Magn. Reson. 2000, 144, 150–155.
 (11) Wang, J.; Denny, J.; Tian, C.; Kim, S.; Mo, Y.; Kovacs, F.; Song, Z.; Nishimura, K.; Gan, Z.; Fu, R.; Quine, J. R.; Cross, T. A. J. Magn. Reson. 2000, 144, 162–167.
- (12) Ramamoorthy, A.; Wei, Y.; Lee, D. K. Annu. Rep. NMR Spectrosc. 2004, 52, 1-52.
- (13) De Angelis, A. A.; Nevzorov, A. A.; Park, S. H.; Howell, S. C.; Mrse, A. A.; Opella, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 15340–15341.
 (14) Kamihira, M.; Vosegaard, T.; Mason, A. J.; Straus, S. K.; Nielsen, N. C.; Watts, A. J. Struct. Biol. 2005, 149, 7–16.
- (15) Crocker, E.; Eilers, M.; Ahuja, S.; Hornak, V.; Hirshfeld, A.; Sheves, M.; Smith, S. O. J. Mol. Biol. 2006, 357, 163–172.
 (16) Yamamoto, K.; Tuzi, S.; Saito, H.; Kawamura, I.; Naito, A. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2006, 1758, 181–189.

- (17) Yao, X. L.; Hong, M. Biochemistry 2006, 45, 289–295.
 (18) Lange, A.; Giller, K.; Hornig, S.; Martin-Eauclaire, M. F.; Pongs, O.; Becker, S.; Baldus, M. Nature 2006, 440, 959-962. Andronesi, O. C.; Becker, S.; Seidel, K.; Heise, H.; Young, H. S.; Baldus, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12965-12974. Baldus, M. In NMR spectroscopy of biological solids; Ramamoorthy, A., Ed.; Taylor & Francis: New York, 2006; pp 39-56.

[†] Present address: Division of Physical Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden.

Lipid bilayers in the form of either unaligned multilamellar vesicles (MLVs) or aligned samples are typically used for solidstate NMR studies. MLVs are used under static sample conditions to measure the anisotropic interactions like chemical shift, dipolar coupling, and quadrupolar coupling which are useful to characterize the dynamics of a protein and to determine various properties of lipid bilayers including phase, domains, and curvature strain.^{21,22} MLVs are also used under magic angle spinning (MAS) conditions to obtain high-resolution spectra that can be utilized to determine the high-resolution structure of embedded proteins/peptides. However, recent studies have shown that such structural studies require either low water content and/or frozen samples to suppress molecular dynamics that degrade the spectral resolution.^{18,19} On the other hand, macroscopically oriented bilayer samples have been used under well-hydrated conditions to determine the backbone conformation, dynamics, and topology of transmembrane proteins.^{5,10–14,23} Such samples have also been used to determine the structure and function of membrane-permeating peptides.^{21,22,24-26} In these studies bilayer samples are prepared either by mechanical alignment using glass plates or by magnetic alignment. While the preparation of an aligned sample depends on the physicochemical properties of a protein present in the sample, use of well-aligned samples has the unique advantage of preserving anisotropic spin interactions, which are highly informative in the structural studies. Recent studies demonstrated that the magnetically aligned bicelles are more suitable than mechanically aligned samples for the structural studies of membrane proteins.^{5,13,27} The major advantages of using bicelles are that they occupy less volume, which reduces the radiofrequency (RF) coil size and thereby the RF power requirement, are relatively easy to prepare, and are stable for a long time. Therefore, considerable efforts have been devoted to develop magnetically aligned bicelles for structural studies.²⁷⁻³⁴

Bicelles are aggregates composed of short- and long-chain phospholipids in an aqueous buffer that exhibit a liquid crystalline phase macroscopically orientating in the presence of an external magnetic field. The shape and size of these aggregates is controlled by the molar ratio, q, of long-chain lipids to short-chain ones. At a high q > 2.5 value, the phase can be described as perforated, dynamical lamellar bilayers.³⁵ Decreas-

- (19) Todokoro, Y.; Yumen, I.; Fukushima, K.; Kang, S. W.; Park, J. S.; Kohno, T.; Wakamatsu, K.; Akutsu, H.; Fujiwara, T. Biophys. J. 2006, 91, 1368-1379.
- (20) Luca, S.; Heise, H.; Baldus, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 858-865.
- (21) Hallock, K. J.; Lee, D. K.; Ramamoorthy, A. Biophys. J. 2003, 84, 3052-3060.
- (22) Henzler-Wildman, K. A.; Martinez, G. V.; Brown, M. F.; Ramamoorthy, A. *Biochemistry* **2004**, *43*, 8459–8469. (23) Hallock, K. J.; Henzler-Wildman, K. A.; Lee, D. K.; Ramamoorthy, A.
- Biophys. J. 2002, 82, 2499–2503.
 (24) Strandberg, E.; Ulrich, A. S. Concepts Magn. Reson. 2004, 23, 89–120.
- (25) Hallock, K. J.; Lee, D. K.; Omnaas, J.; Mosberg, H. I.; Ramamoorthy, A.
- Biophys. J. 2002, 83, 1004-1013. (26) Henzler-Wildman, K. A.; Lee, D. K.; Ramamoorthy, A. Biochemistry 2003,
- 42.6545-6558. (27) Park, S. H.; Prytulla, S.; De Angelis, A. A.; Brown, J. M.; Kiefer, H.; Opella, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128,7402-7403.
 (28) Sanders, C. R.; Prestegard, J. H. Biophys. J. 1990, 58, 447.
 (29) Sanders, C. R.; Schwonek, J. P. Biochemistry 1992, 31, 8898.
 (20) Sanders, C. R.; Margari, K. B.; Drastand, K. B.; Drastand, S. M. Bargari, K. B.; Drastand, S. M. Bargari, S. M. S. M.

- (30) Sanders, C. R.; Hare, B. J.; Howard, K. P.; Prestegard, J. H. Prog. Nucl. (30) Sanders, C. K., Hale, B. J., Howard, K. F., Frestegard, J. H. 176g Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1994, 26, 421.
 (31) Howard, K. P.; Opella, S. J. J. Magn. Reson. B 1996, 112, 91–4.
- (32) Sanders, C. R.; Prosser, R. S. Structure 1998, 6, 1227-1234. (33) Cardon, T. B.; Dave, P. C.; Lorigan, G. A. Langmuir 2005, 21, 4291-
- 4298.
- (34) Minto, R. E.; Adhikari, P. R.; Lorigan, G. A. Chem. Phys. Lipids 2004, 132 55 - 64
- (35) Gaemers, S.; Bax, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 12343.

ing the q value produces the disc-shaped bicelle morphology where a flat bilayer composed of long-chain lipids is surrounded on the perimeter by short-chain molecules.³⁰ Bicelles can be prepared with any desired degree of ordering: highly oriented aggregates are used in solid-state NMR studies, while weakly aligned bicelles are employed as an alignment medium for structural studies on weakly aligned biomolecules using solution NMR methods. Several studies have reported on the preparation, characterization, and applications of bicelles,28-34 and recent publications demonstrated the usefulness of bicelles for structural studies of proteins using heteronuclear dipolar couplings.^{27,36,37}

One of the most popular approaches to measure heteronuclear dipolar couplings is the 2D separation of heteronuclear dipolar interactions according to chemical shifts. Since dipolar couplings correspond to local magnetic fields in the molecule, this class of experiments is referred to as separated local field (SLF) spectroscopy.^{12,38,39} Dipolar couplings between directly bonded ¹H-¹³C spin pairs as well as generally weaker dipolar interactions between remote spins can be accessed depending on the experimental design. Large ¹³C chemical shift dispersion provides a high chemical resolution, which simplifies the assignment and allows for the separation of dipolar coupling multiplets. There are several experimental protocols for SLF spectroscopy which differ in the details of the preparation and evolution periods, while in all cases the ¹³C signal is observed during the detection period t_2 as it evolves under the ¹³C chemical shift interaction and in the presence of ¹H decoupling. These general protocols are applicable to both the stationary and MAS sample.^{12,39} In the present study, we have compared three different 2D approaches for the measurement of ${}^{13}C^{-1}H$ dipolar interactions from the lipid molecules in aligned bicelles containing DMPC and DHPC molecules under static conditions. Our results suggest that the PISEMA (polarization inversion and spin exchange at the magic angle)⁴⁰⁻⁴² and other rotatingframe pulse sequences are not suitable for the measurement of long-range heteronuclear dipolar couplings, and they provide inaccurate values when multiple protons are coupled to a ¹³C nucleus. Instead, a 2D PDLF (proton detected local field) sequence,⁴³ which is a laboratory-frame separated-local-field experiment, is capable of overcoming these difficulties in magnetically aligned bicelles. These results are directly transferable to 2D SLF studies of ¹⁵N nuclei, where they are typically applied for structural measurements on uniformly ¹⁵N labeled membrane proteins. Furthermore, with a simple modification in the 2D PDLF sequence, we demonstrate that, in addition to ¹H⁻¹³C couplings, remote ¹³C⁻³¹P and ¹H⁻³¹P couplings from phospholipids can be measured in bicelles. It should be mentioned here that the 2D PDLF pulse sequence has been used in previous studies to obtain high-resolution SLF spectra of

- (36) Lu, J. X.; Damodaran, K.; Lorigan, G. A. J. Magn. Reson. 2006, 178, 283-287
- (37) Dvinskikh, S.; Dürr, U.; Yamamoto, K.; Ramamoorthy, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6326-6327 (38) Hester, R. K.; Ackerman, J. L.; Neff, B. L.; Waugh, J. S. Phys. Rev. Lett.
- 1976, 36, 1081.
- (39) Schmidt-Rohr, K.; Spiess, H. W. *Multidimensional Solid-state NMR and Polymers*; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 1994.
 (40) Wu, C. H.; Ramamoorthy, A.; Opella, S. J. J. Magn. Reson., Ser. A 1994,
- 109 270 (41) Ramamoorthy, A.; Opella, S. J. Solid State NMR Spectrosc. 1995, 4, 387.
- (42) Ramamoorthy, A.; Wu, C. H.; Opella, S. J. J. Magn. Reson. 1999, 140, 131
- (43) Schmidt-Rohr, K.; Nanz, D.; Emsley, L.; Pines, A. J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 6668-6670. Hong, M.; Pines, A.; Caldarelli, S. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 14815.

solids by overcoming the difficulties found when multiple protons are coupled with a ¹³C nucleus.⁴³

Since lipids play important roles in the folding and function of membrane-associated molecules, these experiments will be useful to measure the dynamics and conformation of lipid molecules. Such measurements also provide insights into the function of membrane-permeating peptides such as antimicrobial, toxin, fusion, and channel-forming molecules. Similar information has previously been obtained in ²H NMR studies of deuterated lipids⁴⁴ and proton spin diffusion measurements.45,46 The approach proposed in the present study, besides avoiding the isotopic labeling, benefits from the higher chemical resolution and a more straightforward signal assignment. In contrast to ²H NMR, our results show that the structural information obtained in ¹³C spectra is not limited to the orientation of a single bond C-H vector. Couplings between remote ¹³C and ¹H spins and between ¹³C and ³¹P nuclei are also accessible. These solid-state NMR approaches are presently being utilized to understand the membrane interaction of a variety of molecules including antidepressants, dendrimers, proteins, and polypeptides. As an application, the topology of an antidepressant molecule, desipramine, determined from these measurements is presented in this paper.

Experimental Section

Sample Preparation. 1,2-Dimyristoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphatidylcholine (DMPC) and 1,2-dihexanoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphatidylcholine (DHPC) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids, Inc. (Alabaster, AL). DMPC and DHPC with a molar ratio (q = DMPC/DHPC) of 3.5:1 were dissolved in chloroform. The solvent was slowly evaporated under a stream of nitrogen gas at room temperature and completely removed by overnight lyophilization. A 100 mM HEPES buffer at pH 7.0 was added to obtain a concentration of 37.5% (w/w) phospholipids to solution. The sample was vortexed until all of the lipids were solubilized in the HEPES buffer. The solubilized sample was gently sonicated in an ice-cold water bath. The final sample was obtained by several freeze and thaw cycles until a clear transparent solution was formed. Bicelles containing desipramine were also prepared using the above-mentioned procedure.

NMR Measurements. NMR experiments were carried out on a Chemagnetics/Varian Infinity-400 MHz solid-state NMR spectrometer using a 5 mm double-resonance magic-angle spinning probe under static sample conditions. About 100 mg of sample were loaded in a 5 mm NMR glass tube of 4 cm length, and the tube was closed tightly with Teflon tape and a cap. The sample was equilibrated for about 1 h in the magnet at 37 °C prior to the measurement. ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded using Hahn-echo experiments with a 90° pulse length of 5 μ s and under a 30 kHz continuous-wave proton decoupling. A rampedcross-polarization (ramp-CP)47 sequence with a contact time of 5 ms was used to record the 1D 13C spectra under proton decoupling using various decoupling sequences for a comparative study, while the FLOPSY(flip-flop spectroscopy)-848 multiple pulse sequence was used in other experiments as it provided the best resolution. 2D 13C spectra were obtained using 128 t_1 experiments, 64 scans, a 5 s recycling delay, and a 20 kHz ¹H decoupling. All measurements were performed at 37 °C.

(44) Seelig, J. Q. Rev. Biophys. 1977, 10, 353.

- (45) Kumashiro, K. K.; Schmidt-Rohr, K.; Murphy, O. J.; Ouellette, K. L.; Cramer, W. A.; Thompson, L. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 5043– 5051.
- (46) Gallagher, G. J.; Hong, M.; Thompson, L. K. Biochemistry 2004, 43, 7899– 7906.
- (47) Metz, G.; Wu, X. L.; Smith, S. O. J. Magn. Reson., Ser. A 1994, 110, 219–227.
- (48) Kadkhodaie, M.; Rivas, O.; Tan, M.; Mohebbi, A.; Shaka, A. J. J. Magn. Reson. 1991, 91, 437–4349.



Figure 1. ³¹P NMR spectrum obtained by acquiring the FID after a 90° RF pulse in the presence of proton decoupling. 64 transients were accumulated. The chemical shift scale was referenced by setting the isotropic chemical shift peak observed at 10 °C to 0 ppm.

Results and Discussion

Orientation of Bicelles in the Magnetic Field. To obtain a highly resolved ¹³C NMR spectrum of stationary bicelles, it is essential to align the sample in the magnetic field of the spectrometer. The degree of alignment of bicelles was examined by recording the proton decoupled ³¹P chemical shift spectra. Figure 1 shows the ³¹P chemical shift spectrum of a 3.5:1 DMPC/DHPC bicelle sample at 37 °C, referenced by setting the isotropic chemical shift peak observed at 10 °C to 0 ppm. Two peaks in the spectrum are assigned to DMPC (-11.4 ppm)and DHPC (-4.4, ppm) molecules in the sample as indicated based on previous studies on bicelles;³⁰ the ratio of signal intensities equals the DMPC/DHPC molar ratio. The frequency position (-11.4 ppm) and a narrow line width (100 Hz) of the DMPC resonance in the spectrum suggest that the bicelle sample is well aligned with the bilayer normal (or the bicelle axis) perpendicular to the external magnetic field of the spectrometer.

Two-Dimensional ¹³**C Separated-Local-Field Experiments.** In the conventional SLF sequence,³⁹ the ¹³C transverse magnetization evolves under multiple ¹H-¹³C heteronuclear dipolar couplings during the evolution period t_1 (Figure 2a). In the proton-detected local field (PDLF) experiment,⁴³ on the other hand, the ¹H transverse magnetization evolves under the local field of ¹³C spins during t_1 and is subsequently transferred to carbons for detection (Figure 2b). In the case of rare carbon-13 spins (spin *S*) coupled with abundant protons (spins *I*), the heteronuclear dipolar interaction term of the spin Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H_{IS} = \sum_{i} \omega_{IS}^{(i)} 2 I_z^{(i)} S_z \tag{1}$$

where $\omega_{IS}^{(i)} = 2\pi d_{IS}^{(i)} = -(\mu_0/4\pi)(\gamma_I \gamma_S \hbar/r_i^3)$ is the dipolar coupling constant. Since the Hamiltonians for individual *IS* couplings commute, the time evolution of the transverse magnetization is calculated by successive action of individual dipolar coupling terms on the density operator.³⁹ The structure of the modulation experienced by evolved spins is, however, different in conventional SLF and PDLF experiments. In the conventional SLF sequence, where an *S* spin evolves in the presence of local fields, the relevant term of the density operator contributing to the detected signal is given by

$$S_{x/y} \prod_{i} \cos \omega_{IS}^{(i)} \tag{2}$$

On the other hand, in the case of a PDLF experiment, where each evolving spin $I^{(i)}$ is subject to the evolution under the



Figure 2. Experimental protocols of 2D experiments used for the measurements of heteronuclear dipolar couplings and the corresponding simulated dipolar coupling spectra for a three-spin system CH_2 with $d_{CH(1)} = 20$ kHz and $d_{CH(2)} = 4$ kHz. (a) SLF; (b) PDLF; (c) Rotating-frame SLF.

heteronuclear coupling to a single S spin, the relevant density operator has the form

$$\sum_{i} I_{x/y}^{(i)} \cos \omega_{IS}^{(i)} \tag{3}$$

Therefore, the dipolar coupling dimension of the conventional 2D SLF spectrum, where each additional proton contributes with a successive first-order splitting, has a more complicated multiplet-type structure. In contrast, the dipolar coupling dimension of the 2D PDLF spectrum is governed by a simple twospin interaction and presents a superposition of dipolar coupling doublets.

In rotating-frame local field experiments (Figure 2c),¹² the dipolar couplings are monitored through oscillations resulting from the coherent spin exchange in the rotating frame. In the presence of the spin-lock rf fields matched at the Hartmann-Hahn condition^{12,39} the heteronuclear dipolar coupling Hamiltonian is given by the flip-flop terms

$$H_{IS} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \omega_{IS}^{(i)} (I_{+}^{(i)} S_{-} + I_{-}^{(i)} S_{+})$$
(4)

The noncommuting nature of the individual terms in this Hamiltonian results in the truncation of small heteronuclear couplings, and therefore the resolution of large dipolar coupling is enhanced.¹² This effect is well demonstrated in experiments that employed rotating-frame local field pulse sequences like PISEMA,⁴⁰ SAMMY,⁴⁹ BB-PISEMA (i.e., broadband-PISE-MA),^{50,51} PITANSEMA (Polarization Inversion Time Averaged Nutation Spin Exchange at the Magic Angle),^{52,53} and HIMSELF (heteronuclear isotropic mixing leading to spin exchange via the local field).54-56 Experimental and simulated results suggest that the dipolar coupling resolutions in all these methods are comparable when there are only a few protons in a spin cluster.

Efficient homonuclear ¹H decoupling during the evolution (or t_1) period of an SLF sequence is important for an accurate measurement of heteronuclear dipolar couplings. The windowless sequences BLEW-n⁵⁷, WIM (windowless isotropic mixing)-24,58 LG (Lee-Goldburg),59 FFLG (Flip-Flop Lee-Goldburg60 or Frequency Switched Lee-Goldburg⁶¹), and magic echo sandwiches⁶² have been employed to achieve adequate resolution in the rotating-frame SLF experiments. The uses of FFLG decoupling and magic echo sandwiches were named as PISE-MA⁴⁰ and SAMMY,⁴⁹ respectively. Recently, WIM-24⁵⁴ and BLEW-type⁵⁵ sequences have also been introduced in such experiments. The unique feature of WIM and BLEW spinlocking is that they create an isotropic mixing dipolar coupling Hamiltonian, and therefore the corresponding 2D sequences are called HIMSELF.54-56

In a PDLF experiment, where each pairwise ${}^{13}C^{-1}H$ spin interaction in a ${}^{13}CH_n$ spin system results in a separate doublet, the observed dipolar splitting Δv is related to the coupling constant d simply by $\Delta v = 2kd$; k = 0.42 is the scaling factor of the homonuclear decoupling sequence BLEW-48. This value was calibrated using a 2D 1H/13C HETCOR experiment and closely matched the theoretically predicted value of 0.424.57 Therefore, the measurement of heteronuclear dipolar couplings is straightforward using this 2D experiment. In contrast, in a 2D PISEMA spectrum, such a simple relationship holds only

- (54) Dvinskikh, S. V.; Yamamoto, K.; Ramamoorthy, A. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2006, 419. 168.
- (55)Yamamoto, K.; Dvinskikh, S. V.; Ramamoorthy, A. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2006, 419, 533 (56) Dvinskikh, S. V.; Yamamoto, K.; Ramamoorthy, A. J. Chem. Phys. 2006,
- 125 034507 (57) Burum, D. P.; Linder, M.; Ernst, R. R. J. Magn. Reson. 1981, 44, 173.
- (58) Caravatti, P.; Braunschweiler, L.; Ernst, R. R. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1983,
- 100. 305.
- (59) Lee, M.; Goldburg, W. I. Phys. Rev. A 1965,140, 1261.
- (60) Mehring, M.; Waugh, J. S. *Phys. Rev. B* **1972**, *5*, 3459.
 (61) Bielecki, A.; Kolbert, A. C.; de Groot, H. J. M.; Griffin, R. G.; Levitt, M. H. *Adv. Magn. Reson.* **1990**, *14*, 111.
 (62) Takegoshi, K.; Mcdowell, C. A. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1985**, *116*, 100.

⁽⁴⁹⁾ Nevzorov, A. A.; Opella, S. J. J. Magn. Reson. 2003, 164, 182.

⁽⁵⁰⁾ Yamamoto, K.; Lee, D. K.; Ramamoorthy, A. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2005, 407, 289-293.

⁽⁵¹⁾ Dvinskikh, S. V.; Sandström, D. J. Magn. Reson. 2005, 175, 163. (52) Lee, D. K.; Narasimhaswamy, T.; Ramamoorthy, A. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2004, 399 359-362

Yamamoto, K.; Ermakov, V. L.; Lee, D. K.; Ramamoorthy, A. Chem. Phys. (53)Lett. 2005, 408, 118-122.

for the case of an isolated ${}^{13}C^{-1}H$ spin pair or for a CH₂ group with two equivalent C-H dipolar couplings (scaling factors are, respectively, k = 0.79 and $\sqrt{2} \times 0.79$, in the case of BB-PISEMA). Generally, for a CH₂ spin system the two dipolar couplings are entangled in the spectral splitting, $\Delta v = 2k(d_1^2)$ $(+ d_2^2)^{1/2}$, and cannot easily be extracted from PISEMA and other rotating-frame experiments. For a rapidly rotating CH₃ methyl group, the theory predicts that the dipolar coupling PISEMA spectrum exhibits three different dipolar splittings: $2kd_{CH_3}$, $2\sqrt{3kd_{CH_3}}$, and $4kd_{CH_3}$. Numerical simulations performed using the SIMPSON program⁶³ for a three-spin H-C-H system are given in Figure 2. The dipolar coupling constants $d_1 = 20$ and $d_2 = 4$ kHz were used in the simulations. Increasing the number of remote protons deteriorates the resolution of large dipolar coupling spectral lines in the conventional SLF and to a lesser extent in rotating-frame SLF while it is essentially unaffected in PDLF.43,56,64 In the PDLF experiment, a short contact time of the CP step suppresses signal from weak dipolar couplings, while a very long contact time could allow contributions from very remote spins which could result in doublets with very small splittings or an unresolved zero-frequency peak in the dipolar coupling spectrum. Therefore, a careful choice of contact time allows us to maximize the resolution in the 2D PDLF spectrum.

2D ¹³**C SLF Spectra of Oriented Bicelles.** In this section, we demonstrate and compare the 2D dipolar coupling spectra obtained using SLF, PDLF, and PISEMA techniques. The details of the pulse sequences can be found elsewhere.^{12,39,40,43} For the PISEMA experiment the frequency offset-compensated modification of the basic sequence (called the broadband-PISE-MA)^{50,51} was used to suppress the effects of the chemical shifts and the proton resonance frequency offsets.

A 2D PDLF spectrum that correlates the ¹³C chemical shift and ¹H-¹³C dipolar couplings is shown in Figure 3a. Also shown is the 1D ¹³C chemical shift spectrum of DMPC/DHPC bicelles along with the assignment of peaks to DMPC carbons. The 2D spectrum exhibits highly resolved doublets in the indirect frequency dimension corresponding to the combined dipolar and scalar interactions between directly bonded ¹³C-¹H spin pairs. A number of doublets with small splittings arising from dipolar couplings between remote spins are also seen in Figure 3a. Some of the heavily overlapped signals in the chemical shift dimension, from C₄ to C₁₁ sites of acyl chains of DMPC, are resolved in the 2D spectrum. The resolution of this group is increased at a higher temperature as shown in Figure 3b. Representative slices along the dipolar coupling dimension of the PDLF spectrum are displayed in Figure 4 (middle column). These spectral slices are compared with the corresponding slices obtained from conventional 2D SLF and PISEMA spectra. As expected, peaks in the conventional SLF spectrum are very broad. PDLF provides a resolution which is better than or comparable to PISEMA. The inner doublets with smaller splittings in the PDLF spectrum are due to couplings between remote spins. If assigned, these splittings could provide additional valuable structural constraints. The dipolar splitting values obtained from three different 2D experiments are, in general, in good agreement.



Figure 3. (a) A 2D PDLF spectrum of DMPC/DHPC bicelles at 37 °C. 64 scans were accumulated for each of 200 points in the t_1 dimension with an increment time of 384 μ s. The contact time for the CP transfer was set to 3.0 ms. Proton RF field during the t_1 evolution corresponded to 31 kHz. A 1D ¹³C chemical shift spectrum is shown at the top with assignments of peaks to individual carbons of the DMPC molecule. (b) Part of the PDLF spectrum in DMPC/DHPC bicelles at 50 °C demonstrating the increased dipolar resolution in the crowded spectra range between 31 and 34 ppm. Corresponding part of the PDLF spectrum obtained at 37 °C is also shown for comparison.

Experimental dipolar coupling spectra were simulated using the SIMPSON program. Simulated and experimental dipolar coupling spectra for C2 and β carbons of the DMPC molecule are given in Figure 5. Coupling constants used in the simulations are $d_1 = d_2 = 1.7$ kHz for C2 and $d_1 = 1.15$ and $d_2 = 0.26$ kHz for β (as given in Table 1). For an easier comparison the frequency axes in the figure were corrected with the scaling factor of the corresponding pulse sequence, 0.42 (for SLF and PDLF) and $0.79*\sqrt{2}$ (for BB-PISEMA). Only couplings to directly bonded protons are accounted for in the simulations. All coupling constant d ($d = [D_{CH} + J_{CH}/2]$) values are given in Table 1, where J_{CH} and D_{CH} are the scalar coupling constant and motionally averaged dipolar coupling constant, respectively.

Generally, C–H dipolar and scalar (or *J*) couplings are entangled in the observed splittings. The contribution from the *J*-coupling is significant for a directly bonded C–H spin pair, particularly in the highly mobile terminal groups of the lipid molecule. While the value and sign of *J*-coupling is known from other NMR studies, the ambiguity of extracting the dipolar coupling remains since the sign of the dipolar coupling term is generally not obvious. Geometry arguments can be invoked to solve this issue.⁶⁵ In addition to ${}^{13}C{}^{-1}H$ couplings, ${}^{13}C{}^{-31}P$

⁽⁶³⁾ Bak, M.; Rasmussen, J. T.; Nielsen, N. C. J. Magn. Reson. 2000, 147, 296.

⁽⁶⁴⁾ Dvinskikh, S. V.; Sandström, D.; Zimmermann, H.; Maliniak, A. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2003, 382, 410–417.

⁽⁶⁵⁾ Hong, M.; Schmidt-Rohr, K.; Pines, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 3310.



Figure 4. Representative slices along the dipolar coupling dimensions from SLF, PDLF, and PISEMA spectra. Frequency axes were corrected for the respective dipolar scaling factors k = 0.42 (SLF and PDLF) and 0.79 × $\sqrt{2}$ (PISEMA).

dipolar couplings of phospholipids can be accurately measured from the 2D PDLF spectrum. Since different ${}^{13}C^{-31}P$ multiplets, overlapped in a 1D ${}^{13}C$ spectrum, are well separated in the 2D spectrum due to the difference in the ${}^{13}C^{-1}H$ coupling values for different carbon sites, the resolution is increased and the peak assignment is straightforward. (Another way to separate the ${}^{13}C^{-31}P$ multiplets is to perform the conventional ${}^{13}C^{-31}P$ 2D separated-local-field experiment in the presence of proton heteronuclear decoupling.⁶⁶ This, however, requires a ${}^{13}C/{}^{31}P/$ ¹H triple channel probe.)

For most of the CH₂ sites, the spin couplings estimated from the splittings in PISEMA spectra under the assumption of equivalent dipolar couplings, $d_1 = d_2$, are in agreement with those measured directly from PDLF spectra. An exception is, however, found in the case of the β -carbon coupling. This is because the specific average orientation of the β -CH₂ segment with respect to the main molecular rotational axis results in inequivalent ¹³C⁻¹H dipolar couplings. From the two distinct doublets observed for this site in the PDLF spectrum, the spin couplings are estimated to be $d_1^{(PDLF)} = 1.15$ and $d_2^{(PDLF)} =$

(66) Hong, M.; Schmidt-Rohr, K.; Nanz, D. Biophys. J. 1995, 69, 1939.



dipolar splittings, kHz

Figure 5. Simulated (dashed lines) and experimental (solid lines) ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}{}^{13}\text{C}$ dipolar coupling spectra corresponding to C2 and β carbons of the DMPC molecule obtained using SLF, PDLF, and PISEMA pulse sequences. Only couplings to directly bonded protons are accounted for in the simulations. Frequency axes were corrected for the respective dipolar coupling scaling factors k = 0.42 (SLF and PDLF) and 0.79 (PISEMA).

Table 1. ¹H⁻¹³C Coupling Constants^{*a*} (Given in kHz) Measured from Magnetically Aligned DMPC/DHPC Bicelles (q = 3.5) at 37 °C Using Different 2D Solid-State NMR Techniques^{*b*} and Dipolar Couplings Measured Using the PDLF Technique from Bicelles (q = 3.5) Containing 2 mol % and 10 mol % of Desipramine

site	SLF℃	PDLF ^c	PISEMA ^d	desipramine 2 mol %	desipramine 10 mol %
C ₁₄		0.17(0.03)		0.13	0.13
C ₁₃	0.85(0.20)	0.91(0.06)	0.90(0.10)	0.66	0.68
C ₁₂	1.14(0.30)	1.08(0.05)	1.11(0.10)	1.00	0.93
C_{4-11}	1.73(0.40)	1.73(0.10)	1.63(0.16)	1.52	1.63
C3	1.61(0.40)	1.59(0.10)	1.53(0.15)	1.51	1.61
C_2	1.72(0.30)	1.73(0.07)	1.67(0.15)	1.67	1.81
g_1	0.74(0.20)	0.72(0.05)	0.76(0.08)	0.69	0.79
g_2	1.50(0.25)	1.50(0.05)	1.48(0.08)	1.49	1.62
g ₃	1.77(0.30)	1.75(0.10)	1.77(0.10)	1.68	1.77
α	0.55(0.10)	0.54(0.02)	0.56(0.03)	0.43	0.35
β		1.15/0.26	1.19(0.06)	1.19	1.28
-		(0.05/0.03)			
γ	0.11(0.10)	0.14(0.01)		0.15	0.17

^{*a*} Absolute values of coupling constant $|d| = |D_{CH} + J_{CH}/2|$, where J_{CH} and D_{CH} are the scalar coupling constant and motionally averaged dipolar coupling constant, respectively. ^{*b*} Full line width at half-maximum is given in parentheses. ^{*c*} $d = \Delta \nu / (2k)$, where $\Delta \nu$ is the spectral splitting and k = 0.42 is the scaling factor of the BLEW48 sequence. ^{*d*} $d = \Delta \nu / (2\sqrt{2}k)$ for CH₂ methylenes (except β) and $d = \Delta \nu / (2k)$ for the CH pair (g_2 site) and for the β site, where $\Delta \nu$ is the spectral splitting and k = 0.79 is the scaling factor for the BB-PISEMA pulse sequence.

0.26 kHz. Hence, the apparent coupling constant in the PISEMA experiment is calculated to be $(d_1^2 + d_2^2)^{1/2} = 1.18$ kHz, which is consistent with that estimated from the experimental PISEMA splitting (see Table 1).

 $^{13}C^{-31}P$ and $^{1}H^{-31}P$ SLF. Other types of heteronuclear dipolar interactions, useful to quantify the order and conforma-

tion of the lipid headgroup and the glycerol moiety, are ¹³C- ^{31}P and $^{1}H-^{31}P$ dipolar couplings. Some of the $^{13}C-^{31}P$ dipolar splittings can be identified in the 1D ¹³C spectrum (see Figure 3, 1D spectrum on the top). The splitting due to the ${}^{1}H{-}{}^{31}P$ dipolar interaction can be observed in a 2D experiment which correlates the ¹H chemical shift and ¹H-³¹P dipolar coupling evolution in the indirect dimension with the ¹³C chemical shift and ${}^{13}C - {}^{31}P$ dipolar coupling evolution in the direct dimension. The RF pulse sequence is obtained from the 2D PDLF sequence by removing the ¹H refocusing pulse in the middle of the evolution period. Note that no irradiation at the ³¹P frequency is required in this experiment. Hence, a double resonance ¹H-¹³C NMR probehead can be used. (The decoupling of ³¹P spins to remove the coupling to ³¹P nuclei during the evolution and/ or detection period may be useful to assist the spectral assignment.)

For a dipolar coupled heteronuclear three-spin system I-S-K (proton, carbon-13, and phosphorus-31 spin, respectively), the spin interaction Hamiltonians effective during the evolution (t_1) and detection periods (t_2) of this pulse sequence are

$$H^{(t1)} = \omega_I I_z + \omega_{IK} 2 I_z K_z \tag{5}$$

and

$$H^{(t2)} = \omega_s S_z + \omega_{SK} 2 S_z K_z \tag{6}$$

respectively. Here, ω_I and ω_S denote the *I* and *S* spin chemical shift, and ω_{IK} and ω_{SK} are *I*–*K* and *S*–*K* dipolar coupling constants, respectively. (*J*-couplings can be accounted for as additive constants to ω_{IK} and ω_{SK}). During the *I*–*S* polarization transfer step, the spin-locked component I_x or I_y is transferred to S_x for subsequent detection. By performing the product operator calculation,³⁹ the following observable time domain NMR signal is obtained

$$s(t_1, t_2) \propto e^{i(\omega_I + \omega_{IK})t_1} e^{i(\omega_S + \omega_{SK})t_2} + e^{i(\omega_I - \omega_{IK})t_1} e^{i(\omega_S - \omega_{SK})t_2}$$
(7)

After a double Fourier transformation, a 2D spectrum results with two peaks at frequency coordinates ($\omega_I + \omega_{IK}, \omega_S + \omega_{SK}$) and ($\omega_I - \omega_{IK}, \omega_S - \omega_{SK}$). The projections on the ω_1 and ω_2 frequency axes correspond to I-K and S-K dipolar coupling spectra, respectively.

In addition to providing the information on the ${}^{1}H{-}{}^{31}P$ dipolar couplings, this experiment increases the resolution of ${}^{13}C{-}{}^{31}P$ dipolar splittings as compared to the 1D ${}^{13}C$ experiment. This is because the different ${}^{13}C{-}{}^{31}P$ dipolar doublets overlapped in the 1D ${}^{13}C$ spectrum are well separated in a 2D plane due to the difference in the chemical shifts of protons to which a particular carbon is correlated.

Part of the 2D ${}^{13}C^{-1}H^{-31}P$ correlation spectrum shown in Figure 6 contains the resonances from the atoms in the headgroup and glycerol region. The ${}^{1}H^{-31}P$ couplings are easily recognized in the g_2 and α signals, which appear as tilted doublets. This tilt arises from simultaneous splittings by ${}^{13}C^{-31}P$ and ${}^{1}H^{-31}P$ interactions along the horizontal (direct dimension) and vertical (indirect dimension) axes, respectively. Similar splittings for the g3 and β sites were assigned by comparison to the peaks in the PDLF spectrum. Since in the PDLF spectrum the ${}^{1}H^{-31}P$ couplings are refocused at the end of the evolution period by the ${}^{1}H$ 180° pulse, both doublet peaks are located on



Figure 6. Contour plot of the headgroup and glycerol region of the 2D ${}^{13}C{}^{-1}H$ correlation spectrum (top). The tilted dipolar doublets are indicated by solid lines. The doublets are due to ${}^{13}C{}^{-31}P$ and ${}^{1}H{}^{-31}P$ dipolar interactions resulting in splittings of the ${}^{13}C{}^{-1}H$ cross-peaks along the horizontal axis and vertical axis, respectively. The experimental conditions are as mentioned for the PDLF spectrum in Figure 3. Comparison to the ${}^{13}C{}^{-1}H$ PDLF spectrum (bottom) was used to assign the peaks.

Table 2. $^{13}C-^{31}P$ and $^{1}H-^{31}P$ Dipolar Couplings (Given in Hz \pm 10 Hz) Measured from Magnetically Aligned DMPC/DHPC Bicelles (q = 3.5) at 37 °C

	pure bicelles		2 mol % desipramine		10 mol % desipramine	
carbon site	¹³ C- ³¹ P	¹ H- ³¹ P	¹³ C- ³¹ P	¹ H- ³¹ P	¹³ C- ³¹ P	¹ H- ³¹ P
g ₂	52	138	48	112	55	130
α^{g_3}	51	105	42	71	40	71
eta	25	48	18	18	25	88

the same horizontal line in the bottom spectrum of Figure 6. Experimentally measured dipolar couplings are given in Table 2.

The ${}^{1}H-{}^{31}P$ dipolar couplings can also be measured in a more direct way by recording a 2D ${}^{31}P-{}^{1}H$ PDLF spectrum. We performed such an experiment, and the dipolar coupling slices taken at the ${}^{31}P$ resonances are shown in Figure 7. While the observed splitting for DMPC signal is generally consistent with the values given in Table 2, the lines in Figure 7 are broad and the individual dipolar coupling doublets are not resolved in contrast to the data from the 2D ${}^{13}C-{}^{31}P-{}^{1}H$ experiment (Figure 6).

Topology of Desipramine. An antidepressant, desipramine, was introduced into bicelles in order to study its effect on the



Figure 7. Cross sections from the 2D ${}^{31}P^{-1}H$ PDLF spectrum at the chemical shift of the ${}^{31}P$ resonance in DMPC and DHPC molecules. 64 transients were accumulated for each of 32 points in the t_1 dimension with an increment time of 2300 s.

heteronuclear dipolar couplings investigated above. DMPC/ DHPC (q = 3.5) bicelles containing 2 and 10 mol % of desipramine were prepared. ³¹P chemical shift spectra (data not shown) were used to judge the alignment of samples as mentioned earlier, which were found to be of comparably high quality. 2D PDLF experiments were performed on the desipramine/bicelle samples. The 2D data (spectra not shown) consisted of well-resolved peaks, which enabled the measurement of ¹H-¹³C dipolar coupling values for each carbon site; the values are given in Table 1. We have also performed similar experiments to understand the membrane interaction of polypeptides and proteins with bicelles (data not shown). The presence of desipramine or other molecules did not alter the line width of dipolar spectral lines suggesting that the pulse sequence performs well when bicelles contain ligands such as drugs, peptides, and proteins. Therefore, this method provides a straightforward and highly informative way to investigate the effect that such ligands have on lipid bilayer properties.

The experimental data obtained from desipramine-doped bicelles (Table 1) consist of several interesting features that are worth noting. In the terminal carbons (C_{12} , C_{13} , and C_{14}) of DMPC, the measured C-H dipolar coupling values decrease with the increasing desipramine concentration. The response from carbons 2 to 11 of DMPC, as well as from the glycerol backbone carbons (g1 and g3), is more complex. As a general trend, a slight decrease of dipolar couplings was observed in the sample with 2 mol % desipramine incorporated. In contrast, when the desipramine concentration was increased to 10 mol %, the ${}^{1}H{-}{}^{13}C$ dipolar couplings in this region generally are increased above the values observed in pure bicelles. In the choline headgroup region, the α carbon site shows a linear decrease of ¹H-¹³C dipolar coupling, while the ¹³C-¹H dipolar coupling increases with the designamine concentration. The γ position shows a very slight increase in dipolar coupling, analogous to the β position. The complex response of the upper acyl chain and the glycerol backbone regions of the DMPC bilayer to the incorporation of desipramine could be further confirmed by ¹³C-³¹P and ¹H-³¹P SLF experimental results. The ${}^{13}C-{}^{31}P$ and ${}^{1}H-{}^{31}P$ dipolar coupling values measured from the desipramine/bicelle samples are given in Table 2. For none of the observable carbon sites (α , β , g_2 , g_3) was a linear change of the dipolar splitting with the desipramine content observed.

The above-mentioned experimental findings can be rationalized as follows. The desipramine molecule consists of a hydrophobic tricyclic "core" segment and an aliphatic "side



Figure 8. Molecular structures of desipramine (left) and DMPC (right).

chain" that carries a positive charge on a secondary amine (Figure 8). An incorporation of this molecule into lipid bilayers has to accommodate the amphiphilic properties of the molecule. Most likely, the bulky hydrophobic tricycle will partition into the hydrophobic portion of the lipid bilayer, while the hydrophobic polar side chain will reach (or "snorkel") into the hydrophilic headgroup region of lipids. An earlier ¹⁴N NMR study indeed found the desipramine molecule to be located near the headgroup region in lipid bilayers.⁶⁷ Since the hydrophobic region of desipramine is bulky and rather short when compared to the DMPC molecule, such an arrangement in the interface between hydrophobic and hydrophilic bilayer regions will affect DMPC molecules in bilayers. Figure 8 shows both molecules in comparison; striking differences in their size and shape are evident. The presence of desipramine would most likely restrict DMPC molecules in their upper acyl chain and glycerol backbone regions, while the terminal segments of a DMPC molecule would experience a reduced steric hindrance resulting in an increased segmental mobility. These considerations are in full agreement with the experimental data reported in this study. An increase in the mobility in the terminal acyl chain carbons would result in decreased ¹H-¹³C dipolar coupling values, as observed. A strong interaction of desipramine with the upper acyl chain and glycerol backbone carbons of DMPC is reflected in the nonmonotonous response of the observed ¹H-¹³C dipolar couplings (Table 1), as well as ¹³C-³¹P and ¹H-³¹P dipolar couplings (Table 2), to desipramine content.

In the headgroup region of DMPC, the observed ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}{}^{13}\text{C}$ dipolar couplings can be interpreted in terms of desipramineinduced changes in the headgroup dynamics and/or conformation. Any changes in the dynamics of the lipid headgroup would have the same effect on both α and β carbon sites, and the ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}$ ${}^{13}\text{C}$ dipolar couplings at both sites would be expected to change in the same direction. Therefore, the measured counterdirectional changes in the ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}{}^{13}\text{C}$ dipolar couplings at α and β carbon sites cannot be explained solely by the changes in the dynamics

⁽⁶⁷⁾ Santos, J. S.; Lee, D. K.; Ramamoorthy, A. Magn. Reson. Chem. 2004, 42, 105–114.

of the headgroup. On the other hand, these results can be explained in terms of a conformational change in the phosphocholine headgroup of DMPC. Previous NMR studies have shown that the addition of a cationic amphiphile to lipid bilayers can move the N^+ end of the lipid's P^--N^+ dipole toward the water phase of the bilayer, whereas an anionic amphiphile has the opposite effect.^{9,68-70} Since the desipramine side chain is positively charged, it could repel the N^+ end of the P^--N^+ dipole vector that would move the dipole toward the water phase of the bilayer. This would alter the conformation of the phosphocholine headgroup of the DMPC molecule. This interpretation is in excellent agreement with a previous ¹⁴N NMR study that reported a significant reduction in the ¹⁴N quadrupole coupling due to the electrostatic interaction between the positively charged N-H group of the drug and the C=O and P-O- groups of the DMPC molecule.67 This interaction causes the lipid head group to move toward the water phase of bilayers, thereby increasing the symmetry at the ¹⁴N nucleus of the choline group and decreasing the quadrupolar interaction.⁶⁷ In addition, our interpretation is in good agreement with previous ²H NMR studies that reported the effects of cations⁶⁸ and cationic peptides^{9,70} on the conformation of the lipid headgroup.

Conclusions

Carbon-13 NMR spectroscopy of lipid bilayers, when applied as a 2D SLF experiment, provides a number of important advantages over the traditional ²H NMR approach. These include more straightforward signal assignment, accessibility of remote couplings and couplings to heterospins other than protons, and resolving the ambiguous splitting within the methylene groups. Thus, the information content in the 2D spectrum that is useful for structural studies is increased dramatically. Our results suggest that the 2D PDLF is the most efficient experiment on bicelles as compared to other separated local field techniques in terms of the resolution of the dipolar couplings. However, BB-PISEMA or HIMSELF sequences provided the best resolution in rigid solids. Since the spectral splitting in a spin cluster with multiple spin dipolar couplings behave distinctly in PDLF and PISEMA-type techniques, some ambiguity issues in assigning the dipolar doublets are resolved by comparing the data of these two experiments. Therefore, a combination of PDLF with one of the rotating-frame experiments like BB-PISEMA or HIMSELF is highly recommended. Our results also suggest that the 2D experiment can be used to measure the membrane interaction of ligands. Interestingly, this approach does not depend on the molecular size, and therefore it should be useful in studying the interaction of membrane proteins with the lipid bilayer environment. This approach will also be applicable to study the structure of ¹³C- or ¹⁵N-labeled proteins in bicelles. In particular, the laboratory-frame SLF experiment like PDLF and its variants will be valuable in determining the structure and dynamics of soluble domains, loop regions, and mobile parts of membrane proteins.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by research funds from the National Institutes of Health (AI054515).

JA065536K

⁽⁶⁸⁾ Scherer, P. G.; Seelig, J. Biochemistry 1989, 28, 7720-7728.

⁽⁶⁹⁾ Semchyschyn, D. J.; MacDonald, P. M. Magn. Reson. Chem. 2004, 42, 89–104.
(70) Ramamoorthy, A.; Thennarasu, S.; Tan, A.; Lee, D. K.; Clayberger, C.;

⁽⁷⁰⁾ Rammorthy, A.; Thennarasu, S.; Tan, A.; Lee, D. K.; Clayberger, C.; Krensky, A. M. Biochim. Biophys. Acta-Biomembranes 2006, 1758, 154– 163.